A.2

Designation Run Report

Howard, June - Defendants' Affirmatives 7-8-21 8am

Howard, June 04-25-2019

Defendants' Affirmatives 00:07:52

Total Time 00:07:52



	V130-Howard, June - Defendants' Affirmatives 7-8-21 8am	
Page/Line	Source	ID
12:16 - 12:20	Howard June 04 25 2040 (00:00:00)	V130.1
12.10 - 12.20	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:09)	¥ 150.1
	12:16 Q. My name is Chris Eppich. I represent	
	12:17 McKesson in this litigation.	
	12:18 Would you please state your full name 12:19 for the record.	
	12:20 A. June Howard.	
13:23 - 14:06	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:23)	V130.2
	13:23 Q. You understand that you're providing	
	13:24 testimony on behalf of DEA today?	
	13:25 A. Yes.	
	14:1 MR. EPPICH: I'd like to mark as	
	14:2 Exhibit 1 the amended notice of the deposition	
	14:3 of Ms. Howard.	
	14:4 (Howard Deposition Exhibit 1 marked for	
	14:5 identification and attached to the	
	14:6 transcript.)	
14:25 - 15:03	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:06)	V130.3
	14:25 Q. Do you understand it to be the letter	
	15:1 authorizing your testimony on certain subjects	
	15:2 on behalf of DEA?	
	15:3 A. Yes.	
15:11 - 15:19	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:26)	V130.4
	15:11 Q. If you could turn to page 6 and	
	15:12 topic 11. Topic 11 states, "Your practice of	
	15:13 notifying DEA-registered distributors when	
	15:14 another distributor terminated its relationship	
	15:15 with a customer due to the risk of diversion,	
	15:16 including when and why you disseminated [sic]	
	15:17 such practice."	
	15:18 Are you authorized by the DEA to testify	
	15:19 on this topic today?	
15:23 - 15:23	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:00)	V130.5
	15:23 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
15:25 - 16:02	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:05)	V130.6
	15:25 Q. And you're prepared to testify on this	
	16:1 topic here today?	
	16:2 A. Yes.	
17:24 - 18:08	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:20)	V130.7
	17:24 Q. Now, you're currently the chief of the	
	17:25 reports analyst group unit or excuse me,	

Defendants' Affirmatives
Page 2/7

	V130-Howard, June - Defendants' Affirmatives 7-8-21 8am	
Page/Line	Source	ID
	18:1 chief of the reports analyst unit; is that	
	18:2 correct?	
	18:3 A. Reports analysis unit.	
	18:4 Q. Thank you.	
	18:5 And is that is that a new is that	
	18:6 the same as the chief of the targeting and	
	18:7 analysis unit?	
	18:8 A. Yes.	
19:10 - 19:13	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:08)	V130.8
	19:10 Q. You were the chief of the targeting and	
	19:11 analysis unit from 1996 to 2010; is that	
	19:12 correct?	
	19:13 A. Correct.	
22:02 - 22:04	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:08)	V130.9
	22:2 Q. Did you join the targeting and analysis	
	22:3 unit as the chief of that unit in 1996?	
	22:4 A. Yes.	
28:17 - 29:01	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:36)	V130.10
	28:17 Q. Following the first of the distributor	
	28:18 briefings in 2005, DEA established an e-mail	
	28:19 group to announce actions taken by distributors	
	28:20 to either discontinue or limit supply to	
	28:21 customers.	
	28:22 A. Yes.	
	28:23 Q. And these termination notices identified	
	28:24 customers distributors had discontinued or	
	28:25 restricted business with, correct?	
29:19 - 30:01	29:1 A. Correct.	V130.11
29.19 - 30.01	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:20)	V130.11
	29:19 Q. Did the DEA want distributors to make	
	29:20 informed decisions about the customers that they	
	29:21 signed up to distribute to?	
	29:22 A. Yes.	
	29:23 Q. DEA understood that distributors trying	
	29:24 to identify potential diversion would benefit	
	29:25 from access to more information than just their 30:1 own sales data and customer observations, right?	
30:06 - 30:06	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:00)	V130.12
22.23	30:6 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
30:08 - 30:11	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:10)	V130.13
	110 Hara, Gaile Of 20 2010 (00.00.10)	

Defendants' Affirmatives Page 3/7

	V130-Howard, June - Defendants' Affirmatives 7-8-21 8am	
Page/Line	Source	ID
	OOO O And William distributions because the consense of	
	30:8 Q. And if the distributors knew the name of	
	30:9 the pharmacies that had been cut off by other	
	30:10 distributors, it could help them identify 30:11 potential diversion, correct?	
30:14 - 30:16	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:08)	V130.14
	30:14 THE WITNESS: The information is	
	30:15 limited. They would need more than notification	
	30:16 of pharmacies that have been cut off.	
31:03 - 31:06	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:10)	V130.15
	31:3 Q. You would agree that the more	
	31:4 information the distributor has on the pharmacy,	
	31:5 the more helpful that would be to prevent	
	31:6 diversion, correct?	
31:10 - 31:10	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:00)	V130.16
	31:10 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
31:12 - 31:14	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:10)	V130.17
	31:12 Q. Now, DEA considered the termination	
	31:13 notices to be a valuable lead to identify the	
	31:14 source a source of diversion, right?	
31:16 - 31:16	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:01)	V130.18
	31:16 THE WITNESS: Yes.	
34:06 - 34:12	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:16)	V130.19
	34:6 Would you agree that the DEA knew and	
	34:7 understood that the vast majority of	
	34:8 distributors, upon receiving a termination	
	34:9 notice, they would conduct additional due	
	34:10 diligence or potentially stop supplying a	
	34:11 customer that another distributor had	
0445 0445	34:12 terminated?	V400.00
34:15 - 34:15	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:01)	V130.20
44.00 40.04	34:15 THE WITNESS: Yes.	V420.24
41:23 - 42:01	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:12)	V130.21
	41:23 Q. Was it DEA's general practice to perform	
	41:24 any type of investigation into the pharmacies	
	41:25 and doctors identified in the termination	
42:06 - 42:06	42:1 notices sent to distributors?	V130.22
72.00 - 42.00	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:01)	¥ 130.22
42:08 - 42:11	42:6 THE WITNESS: I don't know. Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:12)	V130.23
12.00	42:8 Q. Was it DEA's general practice to use	
	72.0 Q. Was It DEA's general practice to use	

Defendants' Affirmatives Page 4/7

	V130-Howard, June - Defendants' Affirmatives 7-8-21 8am	
Page/Line	Source	ID
	42:9 ARCOS to investigate any of the pharmacies and	
	42:10 doctors identified in the termination notices	
	42:11 sent to distributors?	
42:15 - 42:15	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:02)	V130.24
	42:15 THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
42:17 - 42:19	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:08)	V130.25
	42:17 Q. Was it DEA's general practice to set up	
	42:18 any monthly monitoring of the pharmacies and	
	42:19 doctors identified in the termination notices?	
42:23 - 42:23	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:01)	V130.26
	42:23 THE WITNESS: I don't know.	
42:25 - 42:25	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:02)	V130.27
	42:25 Q. DEA had that capability, didn't it?	
43:04 - 43:05	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:01)	V130.28
	43:4 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat? The	
	43:5 capability to do what?	
43:07 - 43:09	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:09)	V130.29
	43:7 Q. The capability to set up a monthly	
	43:8 monitoring of the pharmacies and doctors that	
	43:9 were identified in these termination notices.	
43:11 - 43:12	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:08)	V130.30
	43:11 THE WITNESS: We had the capability.	
	43:12 Yes.	
43:14 - 43:19	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:17)	V130.31
	43:14 Q. And in 2006, while you were the chief of	
	43:15 the targeting and analysis group, sitting here	
	43:16 today, you're not aware of any investigation or	
	43:17 use of ARCOS data or monthly monitoring of the	
	43:18 pharmacies and doctors that were identified in	
	43:19 the termination notices; is that correct?	
43:24 - 43:24	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:01)	V130.32
	43:24 THE WITNESS: That's correct.	
44:23 - 44:25	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:09)	V130.33
	44:23 Q. Would you agree that ARCOS data would	
	44:24 have been helpful to distributors to identify	
45.04 45.04	44:25 potential diversion?	V400 04
45:04 - 45:04	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:00)	V130.34
4F.06 4F.40	45:4 THE WITNESS: Yes.	V420.25
45:06 - 45:13	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:19)	V130.35
	45:6 Q. Now, DEA stopped sending termination	

Defendants' Affirmatives Page 5/7

	V130-Howard, June - Defendants' Affirmatives 7-8-21 8am	
Page/Line	Source	ID
	45:7 notices to distributors, correct?	
	45:8 A. Correct.	
	45:9 Q. And do you know when the DEA stopped	
	45:10 sending termination notices to distributors?	
	45:11 A. Based on the DEA records that I	
	45:12 reviewed, it appears that it ceased in	
	45:13 December 2007.	
47:02 - 48:03	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:01:54)	V130.36
	47:2 Q. Why did DEA stop sending the termination	
	47:3 notices to distributors?	
	47:4 A. Based on my review of the DEA records,	
	47:5 it appears the notification process ceased	
	47:6 because diversion investigators in the field	
	47:7 expressed concern about the notification, and	
	47:8 individuals on the listing were legitimate	
	47:9 pharmacies or doctors and needed their product	
	47:10 for legitimate medical purposes.	
	47:11 Also, the threat of potential	
	47:12 litigation. And that's it for now, if I	
	47:13 can yeah.	
	47:14 Q. And what were the concerns of the	
	47:15 diversion investigators?	
	47:16 A. That registrants that were identified	
	47:17 had legitimate purposes for ordering product and	
	47:18 they should not be blacklisted.	
	47:19 Q. And you mentioned the threat of	
	47:20 potential litigation. Did I hear that	
	47:21 correctly?	
	47:22 A. That's correct.	
	47:23 Q. What was the threat of potential	
	47:24 litigation that you mentioned?	
	47:25 A. In my review of some of the DEA records,	
	48:1 Kyle Wright mentioned that once the notification	
	48:2 termination memos were distributed, pharmacies	
	48:3 and DEA registrants threatened to sue him.	
49:02 - 49:05	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:15)	V130.37
	49:2 Q. Was the threat of litigation, was that	
	49:3 threat too scary for the DEA so that it decided	
	49:4 it would stop sending the termination notices to	
	49:5 distributors?	

Defendants' Affirmatives
Page 6/7

Page/Line	Source	ID
49:08 - 49:09	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:05)	V130.38
	49:8 THE WITNESS: I believe it contributed	
	49:9 to ceasing sending out the notifications.	
50:12 - 50:16	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:16)	V130.39
	50:12 Q. The DEA Ms. Howard, did the DEA stop	
	50:13 sending distribution notices after hearing	
	50:14 concerns about the threat of litigation against	
	50:15 the DEA from pharmacies and doctors that were	
	50:16 identified in the termination notices?	
50:19 - 50:21	Howard, June 04-25-2019 (00:00:05)	V130.40
	50:19 THE WITNESS: That was one of the	
	50:20 reasons. It appears that they ceased sending	
	50:21 the notifications.	

Defendants' Affirmatives = 00:07:52

Total Time = 00:07:52

Defendants' Affirmatives
Page 7/7